Steven T. Lowe SBN 122208 steven@lowelaw.com Kris S. LeFan SBN 278611 kris@lowelaw.com LOWE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 11400 Olympic Blvd., Suite 640 Los Angeles, CA 90064 Telephone: (310) 477-5811 Facsimile: (310) 477-7672

Hao Ni (*pro hac vice* to be submitted) *hni@nilawfirm.com* Timothy Wang (*pro hac vice* to be submitted) *twang@nilawfirm.com* Neal Massand (*pro hac vice* to be submitted) *nmassand@nilawfirm.com* **NI, WANG & MASSAND, PLLC** 8140 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 500 Dallas, TX 75231 Telephone: (972) 331-4600 Facsimile: (972) 314-0900

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Groundswell Technologies, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION

GROUNDSWELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:15-cv-06024
v. SYNAPSENSE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation and PANDUIT CORP., a Delaware corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
Defendants.	

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

This is an action for patent infringement in which Groundswell Technology, Inc. ("Plaintiff") makes the following allegations against Defendants Synapsense Corporation and Panduit Corp (collectively "Defendants") alleging as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Groundswell Technologies, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a principal place of business located at 7127 Hollister Avenue, #25A-108, Goleta, CA, 93117.

2. On information and belief, Defendant Synapsense Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 340 Palladio Pkwy, Ste. 530, Folsom, CA 95630. Synapsense Corporation may be served via its registered agent for service of process: Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Panduit Corp is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 18900 Panduit Drive, Tinley Park, IL 60487. Panduit Corp. may be served Corporation may be served via its registered agent for service of process: Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange St, Wilmington, DE 19801.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

Complaint Case No. 2:15-cv-06024 5. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendants have transacted business in this District, and has committed and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this District.

6. On information and belief, Defendants are subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statue, due at least to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in California and in this Judicial District.

<u>COUNT I</u> INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,915,211

7. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 6,915,211 ("the '211 Patent") titled "GIS Based Real-Time Monitoring and Reporting System." The '211 Patent issued on July 5, 2005. A true and correct copy of the '211 Patent is hereto attached as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and are now infringing the '211 Patent in the State of California, in this Judicial District, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, methods practiced by Defendants' LiveImaging system, for real-time monitoring and reporting, employing sensor packages, and converting real-time sensor information into real-time sensor data and site maps, covered by one or more claims of the '211 Patent to the injury of Plaintiff. Defendants are directly infringing, literally infringing, and/or infringing the '211 Patent under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendants thus liable for infringement of the '211 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

9. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '211 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for

Complaint Case No. 2:15-cv-06024

Case 2:15-cv-06024 Document 1 Filed 08/07/15 Page 4 of 7 Page ID #:4

Defendants' infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of Plaintiff's invention by Defendants, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court, and Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

10. On or about late October 26, 2011, members of Plaintiff met with Synapsense's investor Frank Foster to speak with the Peter Van de Venter, CEO of Synapsense. Subsequently, in December of 2011 and January of 2012, members of Plaintiff spoke with Peter Van de Venter and CTO Raju Pandey regarding Plaintiff's interpolation algorithms as well as the claims of the '211 Patent in an effort to license the '211 Patent to Synapsense. After reviewing the '211 Patent, Synapsense broke off all discussions and complained to Frank Foster to no longer work with Plaintiff. As such, Defendants' infringement is willful and deliberate entitling Plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

11. Unless a permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting on in active concert therewith from infringing the '211 Patent, Plaintiff will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter:

1.

A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendants have infringed the '211 Patent;

2. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendants and their officers, directors, agents servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of the '211 Patent, or such other equitable relief the Court determines is warranted;

3. A judgment finding Defendants' infringement to be willful and awarding treble damages to Plaintiff for the period of such willful infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

4. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay to Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '211 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and an accounting of ongoing post-judgment infringement; and

5. Any and all other relief, at law or equity, to which Plaintiff may show itself to be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

DATED August 7, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

5 Complaint	01
Stevenson Moore V Texas Bar No. 24076573	
nmassand@nilawfirm.com	
Texas Bar No. 24039038	
Neal G. Massand	
twang@nilawfirm.com	
Texas Bar No. 24067927	
Timothy T. Wang	
hni@nilawfirm.com	
Hao Ni Texas Bar No. 24047205	
Facsimile: (310) 477-7672	
Telephone: (310) 477-5811	
Los Angeles, CA 90064	
11400 Olympic Blvd., Suite 640	
LOWE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	
kris@lowelaw.com	
Kris S. LeFan SBN 278611	
steven@lowelaw.com	
Steven T. Lowe SBN 122208	
/s/Kris S. LeFan	

Case No. 2:15-cv-06024

	Case 2:15-cv-06024	Document 1	Filed 08/07/15	Page 6 of 7	Page ID #:6
1			smoore	@nilawfirm.co	Dm
2			Ni, Wa	n g & Massan Valnut Hill Ln.,	d, PLLC
3			Dallas,	TX 75231	
4			Fax: (9	72) 331-4600 72) 314-0900	
5			ATTO	RNEYS FOR P	PLAINTIFF:
6			GROU	NDSWELL T	ECHNOLOGIES, INC.
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			6		Complaint Case No. 2:15-cv-06024

	Case 2:15-cv-06024	Document 1	Filed 08/07/15	Page 7 of 7	Page ID #:7
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28			7		Complaint Case No. 2:15-cv-06024